arXiv:1610.04341v1 [math.AG] 14 Oct 2016

OGUS REALIZATION OF 1-MOTIVES

F. ANDREATTA, L. BARBIERI-VIALE AND A. BERTAPELLE

Abstract. After introducing the Ogus realization of 1-motives we prove that it is a fully faithful functor. More precisely, following a framework introduced by Ogus, considering an enriched structure on the de Rham realization of 1-motives over a number field, we show that it yields a full functor by making use of an algebraicity theorem of Bost.

Introduction

The Ogus realization of motives over a number field is considered as an analogue of the Hodge realization over the complex numbers and the ℓ-adic realization over fields which are finitely generated over the prime field. The fullness of these realizations, along with the semi-simplicity of the essential image of pure motives, is a longstanding conjecture which implies the Grothendieck standard conjectures (*e.g.* see [1, §7.1]).

The named conjecture on fullness is actually a theorem if we restrict to the category of abelian varieties up to isogenies regarded as the semi-simple abelian $\mathbb{Q}$-linear category of *pure* 1-motives (*e.g.* see [1, Prop. 4.3.4.1 & Thm. 7.1.7.5]). A natural task is then to extend this theorem to *mixed* 1-motives up to isogenies. For the Hodge realization this goes through Deligne's result on the algebraicity of the effective mixed polarizable Hodge structures of level ≤ 1 (see [15, §10.1.3]). For the ℓ-adic realization, the fullness follows from the Tate conjectures for abelian varieties (proven by Faltings) and the fullness for 1-motives is proven by Jannsen (see [19, §4]).

The main task of this paper is to show that there is a suitable version of Ogus realization for 1-motives such that the fullness can be achieved: this is Theorem 3.3.5 below. This result for pure 1-motives aka abelian varieties rely on a theorem of Bost as explained by André (see [1, §7.4.2]). However, in the mixed case, our theorem doesn't follow directly from Bost's theorem nor André's arguments (see Example 3.3.6).

For a number field K, recall that the Ogus category $\mathbf{Og}(K)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear abelian category whose objects are finite dimensional K-vector spaces V such that the v-adic completion V_v is endowed, for almost every unramified place v of K, of a bijective semilinear endomorphism F_v. Actually, we here introduce an enriched version of the Ogus category denoted by $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$ whose objects $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{Og}(K)$ are endowed with an increasing finite exhaustive filtration $\mathcal{V}_\bullet$ (see Section 1 for details). We provide a realization functor

$$T_{\mathrm{Og}} \colon \mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbf{FOg}(K)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F30, 14F40, 14L05, 11G10.
Key words and phrases. Motives, Ogus conjecture, de Rham cohomology.

where $\mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}}$ is the abelian $\mathbb{Q}$-linear category of 1-motives up to isogenies (see Proposition 3.2.3). With the notation adopted below, this $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{M}_K)$ of a 1-motive M_K (see Definition 3.2.1), is given by $V := T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_K)$ the de Rham realization (see Definition 2.3.1), as a K-vector space, so that $V_v \cong T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}} K_v$ and $F_v := (\Phi_v \otimes \mathrm{id})^{-1}$ where the σ_v^{-1}-semilinear endomorphism Φ_v (Verschiebung) on $T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}})$ is obtained via the canonical isomorphism $T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}) \simeq T_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathsf{M}_{k_v})$ given by the comparison with the crystalline realization of the special fiber M_{k_v} (see [2, Thm. A' p. 113 & Cor. 4.2.1]).

In the proof of the fullness of T_{Og} for arbitrary 1-motives, with nontrivial discrete part, the main ingredient is the fact that the decomposition of V_v as a sum of pure F-K_v-isocrystals is realized geometrically via the p-adic logarithm (see Section 2, in particular Lemmas 2.4.1 & 2.4.2, and Lemma 3.3.1).

Note that the essential image of T_{Og} is contained in the category $\mathbf{FOg}(K)_{(1)}$ of effective objects of level ≤ 1 (see Definition 1.4.2). It is clear that the Ogus realization of pure motives in $\mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}}$ is semi-simple. However, the characterization of the essential image is an open question (unless we are in the case of Artin motives, *cf.* [21]).

Further directions of investigation are related to Voevodsky motives. Recall that the derived category of 1-motives $D^b(\mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}})$ can be regarded as a reflective triangulated full subcategory of effective Voevodsky motives $\mathrm{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ (see [6, Thm. 6.2.1 & Cor. 6.2.2]). In fact, there is a functor $\mathrm{LAlb}^{\mathbb{Q}}\colon \mathrm{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}^{\mathrm{eff}} \to D^b(\mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}})$ which is a left adjoint to the inclusion. Thus, associated to any K-algebraic scheme X, we get a complex of 1-motives $\mathrm{LAlb}^{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \in D^b(\mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}})$. Whence, by taking i-th homology, we get $\mathrm{L}_i\mathrm{Alb}^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)_K \in \mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}}$ which, most likely, is the geometric avatar of level ≤ 1 Ogus i-th homology of X, *i.e.*, the Ogus realization $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathrm{L}_i\mathrm{Alb}^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)) \in \mathbf{FOg}(K)_{(1)}$ is the largest quotient of level ≤ 1 of the i-th Ogus homology of X, according with the framework of Deligne's conjecture (see [6, §14] and compare with [2, Conj. C]). This is actually the case for the underlying K-vector spaces by the corresponding result for the mixed realization but Ogus realization (see [6, Thm. 16.3.1]).

Notation. We here denote by K a number field. For any finite place v of K, *i.e.*, any prime ideal of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of K, we let K_v be the completion of K with respect to the valuation v and $\mathcal{O}_{K_v}$ its ring of integers. Let k_v be the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_{K_v}$ of characteristic p_v and let $n_v := [k_v : \mathbb{F}_{p_v}]$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_v$ be the unique maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K_v}$. We assume fixed a generator π_v of $\mathfrak{p}_v$ for all v so that $\pi_v = p_v$ when v is unramified. In this case, we let σ_v be the canonical Frobenius map on K_v and σ_v will also denote the Frobenius maps on $\mathcal{O}_{K_v}$ and on k_v.

1. Ogus categories

1.1. **The plain Ogus category $\mathbf{Og}(K)$.** As in [1, §7.1.5] let $\mathbf{Og}(K)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear abelian category whose objects are finite dimensional K-vector spaces V such that the v-adic completion $V_v = V \otimes_K K_v$ is equipped for almost every unramified place v of K, of a bijective semilinear endomorphism F_v, *i.e.*, for any $\alpha \in K_v, x, y \in V_v$ we have $F_v(\alpha x + y) = \sigma_v(\alpha)F_v(x) + F_v(y)$. Morphisms in $\mathbf{Og}(K)$ are K-linear maps compatible with the F_v's for almost all v.

To give a more precise definition of the above category we have to present it as a 2-colimit category. Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the set of unramified places of K. For any cofinite

subset $\mathcal{P}'$ of $\mathcal{P}$, let $C_{\mathcal{P}'}$ denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear category whose objects are of the type $(V,(V_v,F_v)_{v\in\mathcal{P}'},(g_v)_{v\in\mathcal{P}'})$ where V is a finite dimensional K-vector space, V_v are finite dimensional K_v-vector spaces, F_v is a bijective σ_v-semilinear endomorphism on V_v, and $g_v\colon V\otimes_K K_v\to V_v$ is an isomorphism of K_v-vector spaces. Morphisms are morphisms of vector spaces (on K and on K_v) which respect the given structures (F_v and g_v). Clearly, for any cofinite subset $\mathcal{P}''$ of $\mathcal{P}'$, we have a canonical restriction functor $i_{\mathcal{P}',\mathcal{P}''}\colon \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}'}\to \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}''}$ which simply forgets the data for $v\in\mathcal{P}'\setminus\mathcal{P}''$.

1.1.1. **Definition.** Let

$$\mathbf{Og}(K):=2\text{-}\varinjlim_{\mathcal{P}'\subseteq\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}'}$$

be the 2-colimit category. If $V_1\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}_1}$ and $V_2\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}_2}$ then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Og}(K)}(V_1,V_2)=\varinjlim_{\mathcal{P}_3\subset\mathcal{P}_1\cap\mathcal{P}_2}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}_3}}(i_{\mathcal{P}_1,\mathcal{P}_3}(V_1),i_{\mathcal{P}_2,\mathcal{P}_3}(V_2)).$$

For a given $\mathcal{V}:=(V,(V_v,F_v)_{v\in\mathcal{P}'},(g_v)_{v\in\mathcal{P}'})$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}'}$ and an integer $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ we define the twist $\mathcal{V}(n):=(V,(V_v,p_v^{-n}F_v)_{v\in\mathcal{P}'},(g_v)_{v\in\mathcal{P}'})$.

1.2. **F-K_v-isocrystals.** Recall that a pure F-K_v-isocrystal (H,ψ) of integral weight i relative to k_v in the sense of [11, II, §2.0] is a K_v-vector space H together with a K_v-linear endomorphism ψ such that the eigenvalues of ψ are Weil numbers of weight i relative to k_v. Namely, they are algebraic numbers such that they and all their conjugates have archimedean absolute value equal to $p_v^{in_v/2}$. In particular, ψ is an isomorphism. The trivial space $H=0$ is assumed to be a pure F-K_v-isocrystal of any weight. We say that (H,ψ) is a mixed F-K_v-isocrystal with integral weights relative to k_v if it admits a finite increasing K_v-filtration $0=H_m\subseteq\cdots\subseteq H_i\subseteq H_{i+1}\subseteq\cdots\subseteq H_n=H$ respected by ψ such that $\mathrm{gr}_i H_\bullet:=H_i/H_{i-1}$ is pure of weight i for any $m<i\leq n$. A morphism $(H,\psi)\to(H',\psi')$ of F-K_v-isocrystals is a homomorphism of K_v-vector spaces $f\colon H\to H'$ such that $f\circ\psi'=\psi\circ f$.

1.2.1. **Lemma.** *Let H be a K_v-vector space and $\psi\colon H\to H$ an endomorphism. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *(H,ψ) is a mixed F-K_v-isocrystal.*
(i') *(H,ψ) admits a unique finite increasing K_v-filtration $0=H_m\subseteq\cdots\subseteq H_n=H$ respected by ψ such that $\mathrm{gr}_i H_\bullet:=H_i/H_{i-1}$ is pure of weight i for each $m<i\leq n$.*
(ii) *All eigenvalues of ψ are Weil numbers of integral weight relative to k_v.*
(iii) *H has a unique decomposition $\oplus_{i=m}^N H^i$ by ψ-stable vector subspaces so that (H^i,ψ_{H^i}) is a pure F-K_v-isocrystal of weight i.*

Proof. $(i)\Rightarrow(ii)$. Let $H_\bullet$ be the filtration of H and let $\overline{\psi}_i$ denote the endomorphism of $\mathrm{gr}_i H_\bullet$ induced by ψ. The eigenvalues of $\overline{\psi}_i$ are Weil numbers of integral weight by hypothesis. Since the characteristic polynomial of ψ is the product of the characteristic polynomials of $\psi_{|H_{n-1}}$ and $\overline{\psi}_n$, one proves recursively that all eigenvalues of ψ are Weil numbers (of integral weight).
$(ii)\Rightarrow(iii)$. If all eigenvalues of ψ are in K_v, then H is the direct sum of its generalized eigenspaces. Let H^i be the direct sum of generalized eigenspaces associated to eigenvalues of weight i. Then $(H^i,\psi_{|H^i})$ is a pure F-K_v-isocrystal of weight i and $H=\oplus_i H^i$. In the general case, let L/K_v be a finite Galois extension containing all the

eigenvalues of ψ and let k_L be its residue field. Observe that $(H\otimes_{K_v} L, \psi\otimes \mathrm{id})$ is not an F-L-isocrystal in general. Indeed if an eigenvalue α of ψ has weight i (relative to k_v), i.e., $|\alpha| = p_v^{n_v i/2}$ then $|\alpha| = p_v^{n_v r i/(2r)}$, with $p^{n_v r} = |k_L|$, would have weight i/r relative to k_L and i/r might not be an integer. However, the decomposition result works the same if we consider rational weights. Hence $H\otimes_{K_v} L = \oplus_i H_L^{i/r}$ where the L-linear subspace $H_L^{i/r}$ is the direct sum of generalized eigenspaces associated to eigenvalues of module $p_v^{in_v/2} = p_v^{in_v r/(2r)}$. Since all conjugates of a Weil number of weight i have the same weight, the conjugate of any eigenvalue α of ψ has the same weight. Hence the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K_v)$ on $H\otimes_{K_v} L = \oplus_i H_L^{i/r}$ respects the decomposition and $H_L^{i/r}$ descends to a K_v-linear subspace H^i of H which is a pure F-K_v-isocrystal of weight i. Assume now $H = \oplus_{i=m}^N H'^i$ is another decomposition as in (iii). In order to prove that $H'^i = H^i$ we may assume $L = K_v$. Now H^i is sum of the generalized eigenspaces associated to eigenvalues of weight i. Since the eigenvalues of $\psi_{|H'(i)}$ have weight i, it is $H'^i \subseteq H^i$. One concludes then by dimension reason.
$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$. Let $\oplus_{i=m}^N H^i$ be a decomposition as in (iii) and set $H_i = \oplus_{j=m}^i H^j$. This filtration makes H a mixed F-K_v-isocrystal.
Let $H'_\bullet$ be another filtration making (H,ψ) a F-K_v-isocrystal. One proves recursively that the eigenvalues of $\psi_{|H'_i}$ have weights $\leq i$. In particular, the image of H'_i in $\mathrm{gr}_{i+1} H_\bullet$ is trivial. Hence $H'_i \subseteq H_i$. The reverse inclusion is proved analogously. Hence $H_\bullet = H'_\bullet$. □

It follows from the lemma above that any morphism of F-K_v-isocrystals respects the decompositions in pure F-K_v-isocrystals, thus the filtrations.

1.3. **The enriched Ogus category $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$.** We say that an object $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathbf{Og}(K)$ is pure of weight i if for almost all unramified places v the K_v-vector space V_v and the K_v-linear operator $F_v^{n_v}$ on V_v define a pure F-K_v-isocrystal of weight i relative to k_v.

1.3.1. **Definition.** Let $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$ be the category whose objects are objects in $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{Og}(K)$ endowed with an increasing finite exhaustive filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{V}_m \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{V}_i \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{i+1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{V}_n = \mathcal{V}$$

in $\mathbf{Og}(K)$ such that for every i the graded $\mathrm{gr}_i \mathcal{V}_\bullet := \mathcal{V}_i/\mathcal{V}_{i-1}$ is pure of weight i. In particular, $(\mathcal{V}_v, F_v^{n_v})$ is a mixed F-K_v-isocrystal for almost all v. Morphisms are morphisms in $\mathbf{Og}(K)$.

Morphisms in $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$ actually respect the filtration by the following:

1.3.2. **Lemma.** *With the notation above we have the following properties.*

1. *Given an object $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathbf{Og}(K)$ there is at most one filtration $\mathcal{V}_\bullet$ on $\mathcal{V}$ such that $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet)$ is an object of $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$.*
2. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet)$, $(\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}'_\bullet)$ be objects of $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$. Then any morphism $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}'$ in $\mathbf{Og}(K)$ respects the filtration and is strict.*
3. *$\mathbf{FOg}(K)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear abelian category.*
4. *Given an object $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet)$ of $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$ for almost all v we have that $\mathcal{V}_v$ has a unique decomposition as a direct sum of pure F-K_v-isocrystal of different weights.*

Proof. (1) and (4) follow from Lemma 1.2.1. Since the morphisms between pure F-K_v-isocrystals of different weights are trivial, it follows that morphisms between mixed F-K_v-isocrystal with integral weights respect the filtrations and are strict. Using the fact that g_v induces an isomorphism $V_{v,\bullet} \simeq V_\bullet \otimes_K K_v$ and that the map $K \to K_v$ is faithfully flat, we get (2). Assertion (3) follows easily from (2). □

Note that for $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet) \in \mathbf{FOg}(K)$ and an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that

$$(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet)(n) := (\mathcal{V}(n), \mathcal{V}_\bullet(n)) \in \mathbf{FOg}(K)$$

such that $\mathrm{gr}_i \mathcal{V}_\bullet(n) := \mathcal{V}_i(n)/\mathcal{V}_{i-1}(n)$ is pure of weight $i - 2n$ (*cf.* Definition 1.1.1).

1.4. **The weight filtration on $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$.** Consider the Serre subcategories $\mathbf{FOg}(K)_{\leq n}$ of $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$ given by objects $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet)$ of $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$ with $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathcal{V}$. We get a filtration

$$\cdots \to \mathbf{FOg}(K)_{\leq n} \xrightarrow{\iota_n} \mathbf{FOg}(K)_{\leq n+1} \xrightarrow{\iota_{n+1}} \cdots$$

Recall that a filtration of an abelian category by Serre subcategories is a weight filtration (in the sense of [6, Def. D.1.14]) if it is separated, exhaustive and split, *i.e.*, all the inclusion functors ι_n have exact right adjoints.

1.4.1. **Lemma.** $\mathbf{FOg}(K)_{\leq n} \subset \mathbf{FOg}(K)$ *is a weight filtration.*

Proof. In fact, the filtration is clearly separated $\cap \mathbf{FOg}(K)_{\leq n} = 0$ and exhaustive $\cup \mathbf{FOg}(K)_{\leq n} = \mathbf{FOg}(K)$. The claimed adjoints are given by $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet) \mapsto (\mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{V}_\bullet^N)$ where $\mathcal{V}_i^N = \mathcal{V}_i$ for $i < n$ and $\mathcal{V}_i^N = \mathcal{V}_n$ for $i \geq n$ and they are exact by Lemma 1.3.2 (2). □

We have that

$$\mathrm{gr}_i \mathcal{V}_\bullet = \mathcal{V}_i/\mathcal{V}_{i-1} \in \mathbf{FOg}(K)_i := \mathbf{FOg}(K)_{\leq i}/\mathbf{FOg}(K)_{\leq i-1}.$$

Note that these categories $\mathbf{FOg}(K)_i$ are not necessarily semi-simple.

For a notion of effectivity to get to level ≤ 1 we follow the analogy with [6, 17.4.4]. Say that $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet)$ is *effective* if all the eigenvalues of $F_v^{n_v}$ on $\mathrm{gr}_i V_{\bullet,v} = V_{i,v}/V_{i-1,v}$ are algebraic integers.

Call Lefschetz objects those effective objects of $\mathbf{FOg}(K)_{-2}$ which are $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet)(1)$ for $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet)$ effective of weight zero. Denote $\mathbf{FOg}(K)_{\mathbb{L}}$ the Serre subcategory of $\mathbf{FOg}(K)_{-2}$ given by Lefschetz objects. According with [6, Def. 14.3.2] we set:

1.4.2. **Definition.** Denote $\mathbf{FOg}(K)_{(1)}$ the full subcategory of $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$ given by objects of level ≤ 1, *i.e.*, those $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet)$ effective of weights $\{-2, -1, 0\}$ such that $\mathcal{V}_{-2}$ is a Lefschetz object.

1.5. **The Bost-Ogus category $\mathbf{BOg}(K)$.** Let $\mathbf{BOg}(K)$ denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear category whose objects are finite dimensional K-vector spaces V such that the reduction modulo $\mathfrak{p}_v$ of V is equipped with a σ_v-semilinear endomorphism for almost every unramified place v of K. Morphisms are morphisms of K-vector spaces which respect the extra structure. Also this category can be better described as a 2-colimit category. For any cofinite subset $\mathcal{P}'$ of $\mathcal{P}$, let $C_{\mathcal{P}'}$ denote the category whose objects are of the type $\left(V, (L_v, {}^\flat F_v)_{v \in \mathcal{P}'}, (g_v)_{v \in \mathcal{P}'}\right)$ where V is a finite dimensional K-vector space, L_v are finite and free $\mathcal{O}_{K_v}$-modules, ${}^\flat F_v$ is a σ_v-semilinear endomorphism on $L_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}} k_v$, and

$g_v\colon V\otimes_K K_v \to L_v\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}} K_v$ is an isomorphism of K_v-vector spaces. A morphism in $\mathbf{BOg}(K)$ is the data of a homomorphism of K-vector spaces and of homomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_{K_v}$-modules which respect the given ${}^\flat F_v$ and g_v for almost all v. We then define

$$\mathbf{BOg}(K) := 2\text{-}\varinjlim_{\mathcal{P}'\subseteq\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}'}.$$

2. Logarithms and universal extensions

2.1. **The pth power operation.** Recall from [13, II §7 n.2 p. 273] and [14, Exp. VII$_\mathrm{A}$, §6] that given a field k of characteristic $p>0$ and a k-group scheme G one can define a pth-power operation on $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ as follows. Recall that

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathrm{Ker}(G(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)) \to G(k))$$

and for any $x\in\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ write $e^{\varepsilon x}$ for the corresponding element in $G(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2))$.

Let $k[\varsigma,\pi]\subseteq k[\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_p]/(\varepsilon_1^2,\dots,\varepsilon_p^2)$, with $\varsigma=\sum_{i=1}^p \varepsilon_i$, $\pi=\prod_{i=1}^p\varepsilon_i$, be the subalgebra generated by the elementary symmetric polynomials in ε_i. Observe that $\varsigma^p=0$, $\varsigma\pi=0$ and $\pi^2=0$. Then $e^{\varepsilon_1 x}e^{\varepsilon_2 x}\cdots e^{\varepsilon_p x}$ makes sense as element in

$$\mathrm{Ker}(G(k[\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_p]/(\varepsilon_1^2,\dots,\varepsilon_p^2)) \to G(k))$$

where we use the multiplicative notation for the group law on G. Since $e^{\varepsilon_1 x}e^{\varepsilon_2 x}\cdots e^{\varepsilon_p x}$ is invariant by permutations of the ε_i's, it is indeed an element of $\mathrm{Ker}(G(k[\varsigma,\pi])\to G(k))$. Consider now the canonical projection $k[\varsigma,\pi]\to k[\pi], \varsigma\mapsto 0$. It induces a map

$$G(k[\varsigma,\pi]) \to G(k[\pi]).$$

Let $e^{\pi y}$ be the image of $e^{\varepsilon_1 x}e^{\varepsilon_2 x}\cdots e^{\varepsilon_p x}$ via this map. We further have that $e^{\pi y}$ is mapped to the zero section via the map $G(k[\pi])\to G(k)$ induced by $\pi\mapsto 0$. We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(k[\varsigma,\pi]) & \longrightarrow & G(k) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ G(k[\pi]) & & \end{array} \qquad\qquad \begin{array}{ccc} e^{\varepsilon_1 x}e^{\varepsilon_2 x}\cdots e^{\varepsilon_p x} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ e^{\pi y} & & \end{array}$$

Hence $y\in\mathrm{Lie}(G)=\mathrm{Ker}(G(k[\pi])\to G(k))$ and we define $x^{[p]}:=y$. The map

$$^{[p]}\colon \mathrm{Lie}(G)\to\mathrm{Lie}(G)\quad x\mapsto x^{[p]} \tag{2.1}$$

endows $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ with a structure of Lie p-algebra over k. The $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ is a so-called *restricted Lie algebra* (see [13, II, §7 Prop. 3.4 p. 277]). Further, since the usual isomorphism $\mathrm{Lie}(G)\simeq\mathrm{Der}(G)$ is an isomorphism of Lie p-algebras and D^p is p-linear for any D in $\mathrm{Der}(G)$ (because $\mathrm{char}\,k=p>0$), the p-operation on $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ is p-linear. Moreover, if we assume G commutative then $[x,y]=0$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ [18, Def. 4.7.2] and hence $(x+y)^{[p]}=x^{[p]}+y^{[p]}$, $(\lambda x)^{[p]}=\lambda^p x^{[p]}$ for $\lambda\in k$ and $x,y\in\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ [9, I, 3.1(iii') p. 62]. Let σ denote the Frobenius map on k. We have

2.1.1. **Lemma.** *The p-operation (2.1) is a σ-semilinear map. If G is a commutative algebraic k-group, then (2.1) coincides with the map on Lie algebras associated to Verschiebung* $\mathrm{Ver}_G\colon G^{(p)}\to G$.

Proof. The first claim is obvious. By functoriality of the Veschiebung (see [14, Exp. VII_A, 4.3]) and the fact that $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Fr}_G) = 0$ with $\mathrm{Fr}_G\colon G \to G^{(p)}$ the (relative) Frobenius, it suffices to show the second claim replacing G by the kernel of Fr_G: we thus assume G to be finite (infinitesimal). By [7, Thm. 3.1.1] we can embed G as a closed subgroup-scheme of an abelian variety. By functoriality of the Verschiebung and of the p-operation we can further reduce to the case of abelian varieties. The latter follows from Example 2.1.2 (b) below as duality on abelian varieties exchanges Frobenius with Verschiebung (*cf.* [16, Prop. 7.34]). □

2.1.2. **Examples.** (a) It follows from [13, II, §7 Exemples 2.2 p. 273] that the p-operation on $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ is the zero map $x \mapsto 0$, while the p-operation on $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ is given by $x \mapsto x^p$.

(b) When $G = A$ is an abelian variety and A^* is the dual abelian variety, it is known that there is a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Lie}(A) \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(A^*, \mathcal{O}_{A^*})$ and the pth power operation on $\mathrm{Lie}(A)$ corresponds to the Frobenius map on $\mathrm{H}^1(A^*, \mathcal{O}_{A^*})$, *i.e.*, the σ-semilinear map induced by the Frobenius homomorphism $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^p$ on $\mathcal{O}_{A^*}$, see [22, §15, Thm. 3]. Hence the p-operation on $\mathrm{Lie}(A)$ is associated via duality to the pull-back along the relative Frobenius $\mathrm{Fr}^*_{A^*}\colon \mathrm{H}^1((A^*)^{(p)}, \mathcal{O}_{(A^*)^{(p)}}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(A^*, \mathcal{O}_{A^*})$.

2.2. **The logarithms.** Let k be a finite field of characteristic p. Let $W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors over k, K_0 its quotient field, and set $W_n(k) := W(k)/(p^n)$. Let G be a group scheme of finite type over $W(k)$. As claimed in [17, 5.4.1] we have that

$$G(W(k)) = \varprojlim G(W_n(k))$$

(The separatedness hypothesis in *loc. cit.* can be ignored since $W(k)$ is local.)

2.2.1. **Lemma.** *Let G be a smooth commutative group scheme of finite type over $W(k)$. For n sufficiently large we get that the group $G(W_n(k))$ is p^n-torsion. In particular, $G(W(k))$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module and $G \rightsquigarrow G(W(k))$ defines a functor from the category of smooth commutative group schemes of finite type over $W(k)$ to the category of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules.*

Proof. Denote $R_n := W_n(k)$ for short. First we note that $G(R_n)$ is $(p^s + n - 1)$-torsion where $s \geq 0$ is an integer only depending on the special fiber of G. In fact, $G(k)$ is a finite group of order $N \geq 1$. Set s the minimum integer $m \geq 0$ such that $p^m \geq N$. Then $G(k)$ is p^s-torsion and we get the assertion for $n = 1$. Now assume $n \geq 2$ and that $G(R_{n-1})$ is $(p^s + n - 2)$-torsion. By [13, II, §4, Thm. 3.5 p. 208] the kernel of the homomorphism $G(R_n) \to G(R_{n-1})$ is

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R_n\text{-mod}}(\omega_{G/W(k)} \otimes R_n, p^{n-1}R_n) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k\text{-mod}}(\omega_{G_k/k}, k) = \mathbb{V}(\omega_{G_k/k})(k),$$

where $\omega_{G/W(k)}$ is the $W(k)$-module of invariant differentials on G and the isomorphism of R_n-modules $p^{n-1}R_n \simeq k$ is the one mapping the class of $p^{n-1}x$ to the class of x modulo p. Since $\omega_{G_k/k}$ is trivially free over k, the vector group $\mathbb{V}(\omega_{G_k/k})$ is (non-canonically) isomorphic to a finite power of the group scheme $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$. Hence its points are all p-torsion. As a consequence $G(R_n)$ is $(p^s + n - 1)$-torsion.

For all n such that $p^n > p^s + n - 1$ we get that $G(R_n)$ is p^n-torsion, hence it has a canonical structure of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-module. In particular, as $\mathbb{Z}_p = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ passing to limit on n one gets the last claim that $G(W(k))$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module.

For the functoriality, given a morphism $f\colon G \to H$, it suffices to remark that we may choose a positive integer r, large enough, such that $G(R_n)$ and $H(R_n)$ are both p^n-torsion for any $n \geq r$. Hence f induces homomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-modules $f_n\colon G(R_n) \to H(R_n)$ and passing to limit on n one gets a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules. □

Consider the canonical homomomorphism of groups

$$\rho\colon G(W(k)) \to G(k) \tag{2.2}$$

induced by the closed immersion $\mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$. Note that as k is a finite field, the k-valued points $G(k)$ of G form a finite, and hence torsion, group.

In particular, if we denote Γ the kernel of ρ in (2.2), we obtain an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces

$$G(W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \tag{2.3}$$

2.2.2. **Lemma.** *There is a canonical isomorphism $\Gamma \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. In particular, Γ is a $W(k)$-module and the inclusion $\Gamma \to G(W(k))$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules.*

Proof. We write for short R in place of $W(k)$ and set $R_n = W_n(k)$ as above. By [13, II, §4, Thm. 3.5 p. 208] the kernel of the reduction map $G(R_{n+1}) \to G(R_n)$ is

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R_{n+1}\text{-mod}}\left(\omega_{G/R} \otimes_R R_{n+1}, p^n R_{n+1}\right).$$

By induction one proves that the kernel Γ_{n+1} of the reduction map $G(R_{n+1}) \to G(k)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$-module

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R_{n+1}\text{-mod}}\left(\omega_{G/R} \otimes_R R_{n+1}, pR_{n+1}\right) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}\left(\omega_{G/R}, pR_{n+1}\right).$$

Passing to limit on n one then gets that $\Gamma = \varprojlim \Gamma_n = \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}\left(\omega_{G/R}, pR\right)$. Since $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\omega_{G/R}, R)$ and the R-module pR is canonically isomorphic to R, due to the canonical choice of the uniformizer p for $R = W(k)$, the first assertion follows. Further, by Lemma 2.2.1 the inclusion $\Gamma_n \to G(R_n)$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-modules for n large enough. Thus the second assertion follows. □

It follows now from Lemma 2.2.2 that the isomorphism (2.3) is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces

$$G(W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p. \tag{2.4}$$

Further, it follows from Lemma 2.2.2 that there is a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules $\Gamma \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ which induces a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces

$$\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p. \tag{2.5}$$

We set (*cf.* [23, §2.4, p. 169]):

2.2.3. **Definition.** The logarithm is the isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces

$$\log_G\colon G(W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Lie}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$$

obtained as composition of (2.4) with (2.5).

We can write the previous isomorphism as an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces

$$\log_G\colon G(W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Lie}(G_{K_0}). \tag{2.6}$$

In fact, we have that $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{K_0}) \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(G) \otimes_{W(k)} K_0 \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$. Note that $\log_G$ is functorial in G.

2.2.4. **Remark.** For any formal $W(k)$-group scheme $\widehat{G} = \varprojlim_n G_n$ where G_n is a smooth commutative group scheme of finite type over $W_n(k)$, one can define in a similar way the logarithm $\log_{\widehat{G}}\colon \widehat{G}(W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Lie}(\widehat{G}) \otimes K_0$ with $\mathrm{Lie}(\widehat{G}) := \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Lie}(G_n)$. This construction is again functorial, i.e., given a morphism $g = \varprojlim_n g_n\colon \widehat{G} \to \widehat{H}$ then $\log_{\widehat{H}} \circ (g \otimes 1) = (\mathrm{Lie}(g) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_0}) \circ \log_{\widehat{G}}\colon \widehat{G}(W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathrm{Lie}(\widehat{H}) \otimes K_0$. Further, $\log_G$ in (2.6) equals $\log_{\widehat{G}}$ with $\widehat{G}$ the p-adic completion of G.

2.2.5. **Examples.** (a) Consider $G = \mathbb{G}_{a,W(k)}$. Then (2.2) is the reduction map $W(k) \to k$ and $\Gamma = (p)$. Any element of $x \in W(k)$ can be written as $px \otimes 1/p \in pW(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and the isomorphism in (2.4) maps $x \otimes q$ to $px \otimes qp^{-1}$. Recall that $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_{a,W(k)}) = \mathrm{Ker}(W(k) + \varepsilon W(k) \to W(k), a + \varepsilon b \mapsto a) \simeq \varepsilon W(k)$ with $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. The isomorphism $pW(k) = \Gamma \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_{a,W(k)}) = \varepsilon W(k)$, maps an element $py \in pW(k)$ to εy. Hence (2.5) is the map $py \otimes q \mapsto \varepsilon y \otimes q$ and $\log_G(x \otimes q) = \varepsilon q p^{-1} x$. In particular $\log_G(1) = \varepsilon p^{-1}$.

(b) Consider $G = \mathbb{G}_{m,W(k)}$ and let $|k| = p^n$. Then $\Gamma = 1 + pW(k) \subset W(k)^*$ and the isomorphism $1 + pW(k) = \Gamma \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_{m,W(k)}) = 1 + \varepsilon W(k)$, maps an element $1 + py$ to $1 + \varepsilon y$. Let $x \in W(k)^*$. Then $x^{p^n-1} = 1 + py \in \Gamma$ for a suitable $y \in W(k)$ and we can write $x \otimes 1 = x^{p^n-1} \otimes \frac{1}{p^n-1} = 1 + py \otimes \frac{1}{p^n-1}$. Then (2.5) is the map $(1+py) \otimes q \mapsto 1 + \varepsilon y \otimes q$ and

$$\log_{G,v}(x \otimes q) = (1 + \varepsilon y) \otimes q(p^n - 1)^{-1} = \left(1 + \varepsilon \frac{1 - x^{p^n-1}}{p}\right) \otimes q(p^n - 1)^{-1}.$$

2.3. **Universal extensions.** Let $\mathcal{M}_1(S)$ be the category of (Deligne) 1-motives over a scheme S (*cf.* [6, §1.2 & App. C]). If $S = \mathrm{Spec}(K)$, let $\mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear category of 1-motives up to *isogenies* over K, *i.e.*, the category whose objects are

$$\mathsf{M}_K = [\mathsf{u}\colon \mathsf{L}_K \to \mathsf{G}_K]$$

in $\mathcal{M}_1 := \mathcal{M}_1(\mathrm{Spec}(K))$ and whose morphisms are given by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_1}(\mathsf{M}_K, \mathsf{N}_K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. See [6, Prop. 1.2.6] for a proof that $\mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}}$ is an abelian category. A morphism (h_{-1}, h_0) in $\mathcal{M}_1$ becomes an isomorphism in $\mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if it is an isogeny, *i.e.*, h_{-1} is injective with finite cokernel and h_0 is an isogeny (see [6, Lemma 1.2.7]). Recall that the canonical weight filtration of 1-motives yields a weight filtration on $\mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}}$ (see [6, Prop. 14.2.1]).

Let $\mathcal{M}_{0,\mathbb{Q}}$ be the abelian category of Artin motives identified by the full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}}$ whose objects are of the type $[\mathsf{L}_K \to 0]$, *i.e.*, 1-motives which are pure of weight zero.

Let $\mathcal{M}_1^{\mathrm{a}}$ be the larger category of (Laumon) 1-motives with *additive factors* over K. Its objects are two terms complex (in degree -1, 0) $\mathsf{M}_K = [\mathsf{u}_K\colon \mathsf{F}_K \to \mathsf{E}_K]$ where $\mathsf{F}_K \cong (\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a)^r \times \mathsf{L}_K$ is a formal K-group without torsion, E_K is a commutative connected algebraic K-group and u is a morphism of algebraic K-groups (*e.g.* see [4, §1]). We let A_K be the maximal abelian quotient of E_K and let

$$\mathsf{M}_{\mathrm{ab},K} := [\mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{A}_K}\colon \mathsf{L}_K \to \mathsf{A}_K]$$

denote the (Deligne) 1-motive induced by M_K via $\mathsf{L}_K \to \mathsf{E}_K \to \mathsf{A}_K$.

Let $\mathsf{M} = [\mathsf{u}\colon \mathsf{L} \to \mathsf{G}] \in \mathcal{M}_1(S)$ be a 1-motive over S. Recall (*e.g.* see [2, §2]) that there exists the *universal* $\mathbb{G}_a$-extension of M which we denote by

$$\mathsf{M}^\natural := [\mathsf{u}^\natural\colon \mathsf{L} \to \mathsf{G}^\natural].$$

It is an extension of M by a vector group $\mathbb{V}(\mathsf{M})$ such that the homomorphism of push-out

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathbb{V}(\mathsf{M}), W) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}(\mathsf{M}, W) \tag{2.7}$$

is an isomorphism for all vector groups W over S (where $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(-,-)$ means homomorphisms of vector groups). If $S = \mathrm{Spec}\, K$ then $\mathsf{M}^\natural_K \in \mathcal{M}_1^{\mathrm{a}}$ is a 1-motive with additive factors over K (and Ext is taken in $\mathcal{M}_1^{\mathrm{a}}$).

2.3.1. **Definition.** The de Rham realization of M is

$$T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}) := \mathrm{Lie}(\mathsf{G}^\natural).$$

It is easy to check that $\mathbb{V}(\mathsf{M})$ has to be the vector group associated to $\mathrm{Ext}(\mathsf{M}, \mathbb{G}_a)^\vee$. Furthermore $\mathbb{V}(\mathsf{M})$ is canonically isomorphic to the vector group associated to the sheaf ω_{G^*} of invariant differentials of the semiabelian scheme G^* Cartier dual of M_{ab}. We have a push-out diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{V}(\mathsf{G}) = \omega_{\mathsf{A}^*} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{A}^\natural \times_{\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{G} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{V}(\mathsf{M}) = \omega_{\mathsf{G}^*} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{G}^\natural & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathsf{G} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\tau}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau} & & & & \\
 & & \mathsf{L} \otimes \mathbb{G}_a & = & \mathsf{L} \otimes \mathbb{G}_a & & & &
\end{array} \tag{2.8}$$

with $\mathsf{A}^\natural := \mathrm{Pic}^{\natural,0}(\mathsf{A}^*)$ the universal extension of A. Note that the lifting $\mathsf{u}^\natural$ of u composed with τ gives the map $\mathsf{L} \to \mathsf{L} \otimes \mathbb{G}_a, x \mapsto x \otimes 1$; see [5, §2] for details.

Any morphism of 1-motives $\varphi\colon \mathsf{M} \to \mathsf{N}$ provides a morphism $\varphi^\natural\colon \mathsf{M}^\natural \to \mathsf{N}^\natural$ that maps term by term the elements of the corresponding diagrams (2.8); it provides a morphism such that the induced morphism $\mathbb{V}(\mathsf{M}) \to \mathbb{V}(\mathsf{N})$ corresponds to the pull-back on invariant differentials along the induced morphism obtained via Cartier duality from $\mathsf{M}_{\mathrm{ab}} \to \mathsf{N}_{\mathrm{ab}}$.

2.4. **Some useful Lemmas.** Keep the notation as in Section 2.2. Let G be a smooth and commutative group scheme of finite type over $W(k)$. Let $u\colon L \to G$ be a morphism of $W(k)$-group schemes with $L \simeq \mathbb{Z}^r$ constant. Suppose that there exists a morphism $\tau\colon G \to L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_a$ such that

$$\gamma := \tau \circ u\colon L \to L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_a$$

maps x to $x \otimes 1$. For example, in Lemma 3.3.1 below we consider $u = \mathsf{u}^\natural$ with $\mathsf{M}^\natural = [\mathsf{u}^\natural\colon \mathsf{L} \to \mathsf{G}^\natural]$ the universal extension of a 1-motive M over $W(k_v)$ and τ as in the diagram (2.8) above.

2.4.1. **Lemma.** *Under the above assumptions on u and τ there exists a unique K_0-linear section δ of* $\mathrm{Lie}(\tau)$ *such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
L(W(k)) & \xrightarrow{u\otimes 1} & G(W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\log_G} & \mathrm{Lie}(G_{K_0}) \\
 & \searrow^{\gamma\otimes 1} & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta} \\
 & & (L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_a)(W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\log_{L\otimes\mathbb{G}_a}} & \mathrm{Lie}(L_{K_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_{a,K_0})
\end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. The horizontal homomorphism of groups $u \otimes 1$ is the composition

$$L(W(k)) \to G(W(k)) \to G(W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \quad x \mapsto u(x) \mapsto u(x) \otimes 1,$$

and the homomorphism $\gamma \otimes 1$ sends x to $x \otimes 1 \otimes 1$. It follows from Example 2.2.5(a) above that the composition $\log_{L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_a} \circ (\gamma \otimes 1)$ maps x to $\varepsilon p^{-1} x$. Hence the same does the composition $\mathrm{Lie}(\tau_{K_0}) \circ \log_G \circ (u \otimes 1)$ by the functoriality of the logarithm. Up to writing $\mathrm{Lie}(L_{K_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_{a,K_0}) \simeq L(W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_0$ the above composition maps then x to $x \otimes p^{-1}$. Now, let

$$\widetilde{\delta} \colon L(W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_0 \to \mathrm{Lie}(G_{K_0})$$

be the K_0-linear homomorphism associated to $\log_G \circ (u \otimes 1)$. Let

$$\delta := p\widetilde{\delta} \colon L(W(k)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_0 = \mathrm{Lie}(L_{K_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_{a,K_0}) \to \mathrm{Lie}(G_{K_0}). \tag{2.9}$$

Then by construction

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{Lie}(\tau_{K_0}) \circ \delta)(x \otimes 1) = p\left(\mathrm{Lie}(\tau_{K_0}) \circ \widetilde{\delta}\right)(x \otimes 1) = \\ p\left(\mathrm{Lie}(\tau_{K_0}) \circ \log_G\right)(u(x) \otimes 1) = p\left(\log_{L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_a} \circ \gamma \otimes 1\right)(x \otimes 1) = \\ p(x \otimes p^{-1}) = x \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence δ is a section of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tau_{K_0})$. It is clearly unique. □

In the following we are showing that this section δ is functorial.

2.4.2. **Lemma.** *The formation of the K_0-linear section δ is functorial, i.e., given a morphism of complexes $(f, g) \colon [u' \colon L' \to G'] \to [u \colon L \to G]$ over $W(k)$ as above and morphisms τ, τ' such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L' & \xrightarrow{u'} & G' & \xrightarrow{\tau'} & L' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_a \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f \otimes 1} \\ L & \xrightarrow{u} & G & \xrightarrow{\tau} & L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_a \end{array} \tag{2.10}$$

commute and the composition of the horizontal arrows maps x to $x \otimes 1$, then the following diagram commutes as well:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Lie}(G'_{K_0}) & \xleftarrow{\delta'} & \mathrm{Lie}(L'_{K_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_{a,K_0}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Lie}(g_{K_0})} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Lie}(f_{K_0} \otimes 1)} \\ \mathrm{Lie}(G_{K_0}) & \xleftarrow{\delta} & \mathrm{Lie}(L_{K_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_{a,K_0}) \end{array} \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. By functoriality of the logarithm

$$\mathrm{Lie}(g_{K_0}) \circ \log_{G'} \circ (u' \otimes 1) = \log_G \circ (u \otimes 1) \circ f \colon L(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Lie}(G_{K_0}).$$

Hence $\mathrm{Lie}(g_{K_0}) \circ \widetilde{\delta'} = \widetilde{\delta} \circ \mathrm{Lie}(f_{K_0} \otimes 1) \colon \mathrm{Lie}(L'_{K_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_{a,K_0}) \to \mathrm{Lie}(L_{K_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_{a,K_0})$. Since the multiplication-by-p map is an isomorphism on K_0, the conclusion follows. □

We need in Lemma 3.3.1 below a formal variant of this functoriality whose proof is similar (*cf.* Remark 2.2.4).

2.4.3. **Lemma.** *Let u, τ, u', τ', be as in the previous lemma and let $u_n, \tau_n, \dots$ denote the reduction of $u, \tau, \dots$ over $W_n(k)$. Assume that we have the following commutative diagrams, compatible for varying $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L_n & \xrightarrow{u_n} & G_n & \xrightarrow{\tau_n} & (L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_a)_n \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_n \otimes 1} \\ L_n & \xrightarrow{u'_n} & G'_n & \xrightarrow{\tau'_n} & (L' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_a)_n \end{array}$$

Then the following diagram commutes as well:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Lie}(G_{K_0}) & \xleftarrow{\delta} & \mathrm{Lie}(L_{K_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_{a,K_0}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\varprojlim_n \mathrm{Lie}\, g_n) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_0}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\varprojlim \mathrm{Lie} f_n \otimes 1) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_0}} \\ \mathrm{Lie}(G'_{K_0}) & \xleftarrow{\delta'} & \mathrm{Lie}(L'_{K_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_{a,K_0}) \end{array}$$

As a first application of Lemma 2.4.2 we obtain the fact that, in the definition of the section δ, we can relax the assumption that L is constant and assume more generally that L is a sheaf isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^r$ for the étale topology on $W(k)$, namely that there exists a finite Galois extension $k \subset k'$ such that L is constant over $W(k')$. In this case we have:

2.4.4. **Corollary.** *The section δ' defined over $W(k')$ commutes with the action of the Galois group of $W(k')/W(k)$ and, hence, descends to a section δ of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tau)$ over K_0.*

3. Fullness of Ogus realization

3.1. **Models.** By writing $K = \varinjlim_n \mathcal{O}_K[1/n]$ any scheme X_K of finite type over K admits a model $X[1/n]$ of finite presentation over $\mathcal{O}_K[1/n]$ for n sufficiently divisible, *i.e.*, large enough in the preorder given by divisibility (see [18, 8.8.2(ii) p. 28]). Furthermore this model is essentially unique, *i.e.*, models $X[1/n]$ and $X[1/m]$ of X_K become isomorphic on $\mathcal{O}_K[1/N]$, with N a suitable multiple of m and n (see [18, 8.8.2.5 p. 32]).

Any algebraic K-group G_K thus admits a model G which is a group scheme of finite presentation over $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K[1/n])$, for n sufficiently divisible, and G is essentially unique. Note that smoothness of G can be checked at the unit section (see [13, II, §5, 2.1] and [8, §2.4, Prop. 8]). By the Jacobian criterion it is then clear that up to inverting finitely many primes of $\mathbb{Z}$ we may assume that G is smooth over S. Let $G_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}$ denote the base change of G to $\mathcal{O}_{K_v}$, when it makes sense, and let G_{k_v} be its special fiber.

A morphism of algebraic K-groups $f_K \colon G_K \to G'_K$ extends to an S-morphism of *schemes* between the models (see [18, 8.8.1.1 p. 28]). Up to inverting finitely many primes, one can assume that this is indeed a morphism of S-group schemes.

Let $\mathbf{GCC}(K)$ be the category of commutative connected algebraic K-groups and $\mathbf{GCC}(K)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ its localization at the class of isogenies. One can define a functor

$$\mathrm{Lie} \colon \mathbf{GCC}(K) \to \mathbf{BOg}(K)$$

which associates to any commutative connected algebraic K-group G_K the object in $\mathbf{BOg}(K)$ defined as follows. Set $V := \mathrm{Lie}(G_K)$, $\mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is the set of unramified places above primes of $\mathbb{Z}$ not dividing n, $L_v = \mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}})$ with $g_v \colon \mathrm{Lie}(G_K) \otimes_K K_v \to$

$\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}} K_v$ the canonical isomorphism [14, Exp. II, Prop. 3.4 and §3.9.0], ${}^{\flat}F_v$ on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{k_v}) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}) \otimes k_v$ the canonical σ_v-semilinear homomorphism described in (2.1) and induced by the Verschiebung $\mathrm{Ver}_{G_{k_v}}$; see Lemma 2.1.1. We have:

3.1.1. **Theorem** (Bost)**.** *The functor* $\mathrm{Lie}\colon \mathbf{GCC}(K)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbf{BOg}(K)$ *is fully faithful.*

Proof. The difficult part in the proof is the fullness. See [9, 2.3 & 2.6] and [10] for details. On the other hand, the proof of the faithfulness is immediate. Let $f_K\colon G_K \to G'_K$ be a morphism of (commutative connected) algebraic K-groups and let $f\colon G \to G'$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_K[1/n]$-group schemes which extends it, for n sufficiently divisible. If $\mathrm{Lie}(f_K) = 0$ then $f_K = 0$, see [13, II, §6, n. 2, Prop. 2.1 (b)]. □

Now consider a 1-motive M_K over K and models M over $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K[1/n])$, *i.e.*, 1-motives M over S such that the base change to K is isomorphic to M_K, for n sufficiently divisible. We have:

3.1.2. **Lemma.** *Any* 1*-motive* $\mathsf{M}_K = [\mathsf{u}_K\colon \mathsf{L}_K \to \mathsf{G}_K]$ *over* K *admits a model* $\mathsf{M} = [\mathsf{u}\colon \mathsf{L} \to \mathsf{G}]$ *over an* $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K[1/n])$ *for* n *sufficiently divisible. This model is essentially unique, i.e., any two such models are isomorphic over a* $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K[1/m])$ *with* $n|m$.

Proof. Let T_K be the maximal torus in G_K and let A_K be the maximal abelian quotient of G_K. Let T be the model of T_K over S. We may assume that it is a torus. Indeed T_K becomes split over a finite Galois extension K' of K and, up to enlarging n, we may assume that $S' = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K'}[1/n])$ is étale over $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K[1/n])$. Now, T is a torus since its base change to S' is a split torus by the essential unicity of the model. Further, by Cartier duality, the group of characters of any torus over K admits a model over an S which is étale locally a split lattice of fixed rank. In particular L_K extends to such a model L over S. On the other side, we may assume that the model A of A_K is an abelian scheme over S [8, §1.2, Thm. 3], hence A is the global Néron model of A_K over S. Finally, by [8, §10.1, Prop. 4 & 7] G_K admits a global Néron lft-model $\mathcal{G}$ over S and the identity component $\mathsf{G} := \mathcal{G}^0$ is a smooth model of G_{K} of finite type over S.

Recall that G_{K} is the Cartier dual of the 1-motive $\mathsf{M}^*_{\mathrm{ab},K} = [\mathsf{u}^*_{\mathsf{A}_K}\colon \mathsf{Y}_K \to \mathsf{A}^*_K]$ where Y_K is the group of characters of T_K. By the above discussion on models of abelian varieties and lattices and by the universal property of Néron models [8, §1.2, Definition 1], the 1-motive $\mathsf{M}^*_{\mathrm{ab},K}$ extends uniquely to a 1-motive $\mathsf{M}^*_{\mathrm{ab}} = [\mathsf{u}^*_{\mathsf{A}}\colon \mathsf{Y} \to \mathsf{A}^*]$ over S and, by the essential unicity of the model of G_{K}, G is the Cartier dual of $\mathsf{M}^*_{\mathrm{ab}}$, in particular it is extension of the abelian scheme A by the torus T.

Clearly u_K extends uniquely to a morphism $\mathsf{u}\colon \mathsf{L} \to \mathcal{G}$. It remains to check that up to enlarging n, u factors through the open subscheme $\mathsf{G} = \mathcal{G}^0$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Since the formation of lft Néron models is compatible with étale base change we may assume that T is a split torus over S and $\mathsf{L} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^r$. Fix a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^r$ and let $e_i\colon S \to \mathbb{Z}^r, i = 1, \dots, r$, denote the corresponding morphisms. It is sufficient to check that up to enlarging n each map $\mathsf{u} \circ e_i$ (which corresponds to a K-rational point of G_K) factors through G. This follows from [18, 8.8.1.1]. □

3.2. **The Ogus realization.** For a 1-motive $\mathsf{M}_K = [\mathsf{u}_K\colon \mathsf{L}_K \to \mathsf{G}_K]$ over K consider its model $\mathsf{M} = [\mathsf{u}\colon \mathsf{L} \to \mathsf{G}]$ over a $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K[1/n])$ given in Lemma 3.1.2. Let $\mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ be the set of unramified places above primes of $\mathbb{Z}$ not dividing n. For any $v \in \mathcal{P}'$ let

$\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}$ be the base change to $\mathcal{O}_{K_v}$ of the model M and let M_{k_v} be the reduction of $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}_v$.

3.2.1. **Definition.** Let $V := T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_K)$ be the de Rham realization (see Definition 2.3.1) as a K-vector space. Let $V_v := T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}} K_v$ together with the induced isomorphism obtained by $g_v \colon V \otimes_K K_v \to V_v$ and compatibility of T_{dR} with base change. Due to the canonical isomorphism $T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}) \simeq T_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathsf{M}_{k_v})$ as stated in [2, Cor. 4.2.1], $T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}})$ is endowed with a σ_v^{-1}-semilinear endomorphism Φ_v (Verschiebung). Let F_v be the σ_v-semilinear endomorphism $(\Phi_v \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_v})^{-1}$ on V_v. In this way, we have associated to M_K an object in $\mathbf{Og}(K)$. The usual weight filtration on 1-motives induces a weight filtration $V_\bullet$ on V. We shall denote

$$T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{M}_K) := (V, V_\bullet).$$

Note that this F_v is the Frobenius of [2, §4.1] divided by p (see [2, §4.3 (4.b)]), *i.e.*, pF_v is the map associated to the Verschiebung $\mathsf{M}^{(p)}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}} \to \mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}$. This choice is made so that the weight filtration on 1-motives and on the isocrystals are compatible. We have:

3.2.2. **Lemma.** $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{M}_K) \in \mathbf{FOg}(K)$.

Proof. In fact $V_0/V_{-1} = T_{\mathrm{dR}}([\mathsf{L}_K \to 0]) = \mathsf{L}_K \otimes \mathbb{G}_a$ and similarly for $V_{-2} = T_{\mathrm{dR}}([0 \to \mathsf{T}_K]) = Y_K \otimes \mathbb{G}_a$ with Y_K the cocharacter group of the torus T_K. For T the S-torus which is a model of T_K let Y be the group of cocharacters of T. According to [2, §4.1] for almost all unramified places v the σ_v-semilinear homomorphism F_v on $T_{\mathrm{cris}}([\mathsf{L}_{k_v} \to 0]) = \mathsf{L} \otimes W(k_v)$ (respectively on $T_{\mathrm{cris}}([0 \to \mathsf{T}_{k_v}])) = Y \otimes W(k_v)$) is $1 \otimes \sigma_v$ (respectively the map $1 \mapsto 1 \otimes p_v^{-1}\sigma_v$). Hence V_0/V_{-1} and V_{-2} are pure of weight 0 and -2 respectively (in the sense of §1.3).

On the other hand V_{-1}/V_{-2} is T_{dR} of the abelian variety A_K and A is a model of A_K over S. Thanks to [2, Thm. A, p. 111] for every unramified place v of good reduction for A_K we can identify $T_{\mathrm{cris}}([0 \to \mathsf{A}_{k_v}])$ and the σ_v-semilinear homomorphism F_v with the covariant Dieudonné module or equivalently with the crystalline homology of the reduction A_{k_v} of A. The latter defines a pure F-K_v-isocrystal of weight -1 thanks to a homological version of [20, Cor. 1 (2)]. □

Recall that a functor between abelian categories with a weight filtration respects the splittings (in the sense of [6, Def. D.2.2]) if it takes pure objects to pure objects of the same weight.

3.2.3. **Proposition.** *There is a functor*

$$T_{\mathrm{Og}} \colon \mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbf{FOg}(K)$$

which associates to a 1-motive M_K the object $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{M}_K)$ in $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$ provided by Lemma 3.2.2. This functor respects the splittings and its essential image is contained in $\mathbf{FOg}(K)_{(1)}$ (see Definition 1.4.2).

Proof. It follows from the proof of the Lemma 3.2.2 that $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{M}_K)$ is effective in weight 0 and Lefschetz in weight -2. Moreover, it is effective in weight -1 by [20, Cor. 1 (2)]. □

3.2.4. **Definition.** The Bost-Ogus realization

$$T_{\mathrm{BOg}} \colon \mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbf{BOg}(K)$$

associates to a 1-motive M_K an object $T_{\mathrm{BOg}}(\mathsf{M}_K)$ in $\mathbf{BOg}(K)$ as follows. Set $V := T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_K)$ and let $L_v := T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}})$ together with the isomorphism $g_v\colon V \otimes_K K_v \to L_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}} K_v$ induced by compatibility of T_{dR} with base change. Further $L_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}} k_v \simeq T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_{k_v})$ is endowed with the σ_v-semilinear endomorphism ${}^\flat F_v$ which is the reduction of pF_v where F_v is the corresponding semilinear endomorphism in $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{M}_K) \otimes_K K_v$.

Note that pF_v maps $T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}) \to T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}})$ so that its reduction is well defined and, as remarked above, it coincides with the Frobenius map on $T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_{k_v})$ of [2, §4.1] provided by Verschiebung on M_{k_v}.

3.3. **The main Theorem.** For a 1-motive $\mathsf{M}_K = [\mathsf{u}_K\colon \mathsf{L}_K \to \mathsf{G}_K]$ over K consider the universal extension of $\mathsf{M}[1/n]$ and the morphism τ in (2.8). For every unramified place v in $\mathcal{P}'$ let δ_v be the K_v-linear section of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tau)$ over K_v as described in Lemma 2.4.1.

3.3.1. **Lemma.** *The section δ_v of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tau)$ over K_v coincides with the one provided by Lemma 1.3.2 (4).*

Proof. Since p_vF_v on $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{M}_{K_v})$ is the Frobenius in [2, §4.1], it suffices to show that δ_v is Frobenius equivariant and, hence, provides a splitting of F-K_v-isocrystals. Lemma 1.3.2 (4) and the fact that morphisms of pure F-K_v-isocrystals of different weight are trivial imply that such a splitting is unique. Recall that the Frobenius on $T_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathsf{M}_{k_v})$ is defined by the Verschiebung $\mathrm{Ver}_M\colon \mathsf{M}_{k_v}^{(p)} \to \mathsf{M}_{k_v}$ thanks to the crystalline nature of universal extensions (see [2, §4], [3, Thm. 2.1]). To show that δ_v is Frobenius equivariant we apply Lemma 2.4.3 to $[\mathsf{u}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}\colon \mathsf{L}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}} \to \mathsf{G}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}]$ the universal extension $\mathsf{M}^\natural$ of $\mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}$ over $W(k_v) = \mathcal{O}_{K_v}$, τ as in (2.8), $[\mathsf{u}'\colon \mathsf{L}' \to \mathsf{G}']$ equal to $[\mathsf{u}^{(p)}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}\colon \mathsf{L}^{(p)}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}} \to \mathsf{G}^{(p)}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}]$ (i.e., $\mathsf{u}^{(p)}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}} = \sigma_v^*\mathsf{u}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}$) and the corresponding τ', together with f and g provided by the Verschiebung $\mathrm{Ver}_{\mathsf{M}}$ using [3, Thm 2.1] and the functoriality of the Verschiebung (see [14, Exp. VII$_{\mathrm{A}}$, 4.3]). □

3.3.2. **Lemma.** *The functor T_{Og} is faithful.*

Proof. Let $\varphi\colon \mathsf{M}_K \to \mathsf{N}_K$ be a morphism of 1-motives such that $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\varphi) = 0$. In particular $T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\varphi) = 0$. Then $n\varphi = 0$ for a suitable n. Indeed, let $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the base change of φ to $\mathbb{C}$. Then $T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}) = 0$ implies $T_{\mathbb{C}}(\varphi_{\mathbb{C}})\colon T_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \to T_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathsf{N}_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ is the zero map by [15, 10.1.8]. Hence $nT_{\mathbb{Z}}(\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}) = 0$ for a suitable n. Then one concludes that $n\varphi_{\mathbb{C}} = 0$ by [15, 10.1.3] and hence $n\varphi = 0$. □

3.3.3. **Remark.** One could provide an alternative proof of Lemma 3.3.2 using an argument similar to the one adopted for the faithfulness in Theorem 3.1.1.

3.3.4. **Lemma.** *The functor T_{Og} restricted to $\mathcal{M}_{0,\mathbb{Q}}$ is full.*

Proof. First consider two 1-motives $\mathsf{M}_K = [\mathbb{Z}^r \to 0]$, $\mathsf{N}_K = [\mathbb{Z}^s \to 0]$. Write $e_1, \dots, e_r$ for the usual basis of $\mathbb{Z}^r$ and similarly for $\mathbb{Z}^s$. We use the same letters for the induced bases on the de Rham realizations. Any morphism $\psi\colon T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_K) \to T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{N}_K)$ corresponds to a $s \times r$ matrix $C = (c_{ij}) \in M_{s,r}(K)$, *i.e.*, $\psi(e_j) = \sum_i c_{ij}e_i$. Observe that $c_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}[1/n]$ for n sufficiently divisible and hence $C \in M_{s,r}(\mathcal{O}_{K_v})$ for almost all v. If ψ is a morphism in $\mathbf{Og}(K)$, the compatibility with the F_v's implies that $\sigma_v(C) = C$ where $\sigma_v(C) = (\sigma_v(c_{ij}))$. Indeed

$$F_v(\psi(e_j)) = F_v(\sum_i c_{ij}e_i) = \sum_i \sigma_v(c_{ij})e_i,$$

and

$$\psi(F_v(e_j)) = \psi(e_j) = \sum_i c_{ij} e_i.$$

Now $\sigma_v(c_{ij}) = c_{ij}$ for almost all v, is equivalent to $c_{ij}^{p_v} \equiv c_{ij} \pmod{p_v}$ for almost all v. We conclude by Kronecker's theorem that $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}$; hence $C \in M_{s,r}(\mathbb{Q})$. Let m be the a positive integer such that $mC \in M_{s,r}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then $m\psi = \mathrm{Lie}(\varphi \otimes \mathrm{id})$ with $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathsf{M}_K, \mathsf{N}_K) \simeq M_{s,r}(\mathbb{Z})$ the homomorphism which maps e_j to $\sum_i mc_{ij}e_i$ in degree -1.

We conclude the proof of the fullness by Galois descent. Let $\mathsf{M}_K = [\mathsf{L}_K \to 0], \mathsf{N}_K = [\mathsf{F}_K \to 0]$ be 1-motives in $\mathcal{M}_{0,\mathbb{Q}}$ and let $\psi\colon T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_K) \to T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{N}_K)$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$; in particular the base change of ψ to K_v is compatible with the F_v's for almost all places v. Let K' be a finite Galois extension of K such that $\mathsf{L}_{K'}$ and $\mathsf{F}_{K'}$ are split. Then, any unramified place v' of K' is above an unramified place v of K and $K'_{v'}/K_v$ is a finite unramified extension. Then by [2, Corollary 4.2.1] and the fact that the de Rham realization and the Verschiebung morphism are compatible with extension of the base, the formation of $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{M}_K)$ behaves well with respect to base field extension. Let $\psi_{K'}\colon T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_{K'}) \to T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{N}_{K'})$ be the morphisms in $\mathbf{FOg}(K')$ induced by ψ. Denote by the same letter also the associated morphism of vector groups $\mathsf{L}_{K'} \otimes \mathbb{G}_a \to \mathsf{F}_{K'} \otimes \mathbb{G}_a$. By above discussions we may assume that $\psi_{K'}$ comes from a morphism $\varphi_{K'}\colon \mathsf{L}_{K'} \to \mathsf{F}_{K'}$. Let us denote by ζ both an element in $\mathrm{Gal}(K'/K)$ and the induced morphism on 1-motives. In order to check that $\varphi_{K'}$ descends to K, it suffices to check that $\zeta \circ \varphi_{K'} = \varphi_{K'} \circ \zeta$. Since we work over fields of characteristic 0, the morphism $\iota_{\mathsf{F}}\colon \mathsf{F}_{K'} \to \mathsf{F}_{K'} \otimes \mathbb{G}_a, x \mapsto x \otimes 1$ has trivial kernel. Hence it is sufficient to check that $\iota_{\mathsf{F}} \circ \zeta \circ \varphi_{K'} = \iota_{\mathsf{F}} \circ \varphi_{K'} \circ \zeta$. Now,

$$\iota_{\mathsf{F}} \circ \zeta \circ \varphi_{K'} = \zeta \circ \iota_{\mathsf{F}} \circ \varphi_{K'} = \zeta \circ \psi_{K'} \circ \iota_{\mathsf{L}} = \psi_{K'} \circ \zeta \circ \iota_{\mathsf{L}} = \psi_{K'} \circ \iota_{\mathsf{L}} \circ \zeta = \iota_{\mathsf{F}} \circ \varphi_{K'} \circ \zeta.$$

This concludes the proof. □

3.3.5. **Theorem.** *The functor $T_{\mathrm{Og}}\colon \mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbf{FOg}(K)$ is fully faithful.*

Proof. The faithfulness was proved in Lemma 3.3.2. For the fullness, let $\mathsf{M}_K = [\mathsf{u}_K\colon \mathsf{L}_K \to \mathsf{G}_K]$ and $\mathsf{N}_K = [\mathsf{v}_K\colon \mathsf{F}_K \to \mathsf{H}_K]$ be 1-motives. Suppose given a morphism $\psi\colon T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{M}_K) \to T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{N}_K)$ in $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$. By Definition 3.2.4 we also get a morphism $\psi'\colon T_{\mathrm{BOg}}(\mathsf{M}_K) \to T_{\mathrm{BOg}}(\mathsf{N}_K)$ in $\mathbf{BOg}(K)$. Using Theorem 3.1.1 the morphism ψ' comes from a morphism $\widetilde{g}_K\colon \mathsf{G}_K^{\natural} \to \mathsf{H}_K^{\natural}$, *i.e.*, $\mathrm{Lie}(\widetilde{g}_K) = m\psi'$ in $\mathbf{BOg}(K)$ for a suitable $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We may assume $m = 1$. By Chevalley theorem (see [12, Lemma 2.3]) $\widetilde{g}_K$ yields a morphism on the semi-abelian quotients $g_K\colon \mathsf{G}_K \to \mathsf{H}_K$. Now consider the morphism $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(g_K)\colon T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{G}_K) \to T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{H}_K)$ and compare with the morphism induced by ψ on weight -1 parts as displayed in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{G}_K) & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{M}_K) & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{L}_K[1]) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_{-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_0} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{H}_K) & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{N}_K) & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{F}_K[1]) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Since by construction $T_{\mathrm{BOg}}(g_K) = \psi'_{-1}$ in $\mathbf{BOg}(K)$ we deduce that $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(g_K) = \psi_{-1}$ as well. In fact, $T_{\mathrm{dR}}(g_K) = T_{\mathrm{BOg}}(g_K) = T_{\mathrm{Og}}(g_K)$ coincide on the underlying K-vector spaces via T_{dR}.

It follows from the Lemma 3.3.4 that there exists a morphism $f_K\colon \mathsf{L}_K \to \mathsf{F}_K$ such that $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(f_K) = m\psi_0\colon T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{L}_K[1]) \to T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{F}_K[1])$ for an $m \in \mathbb{N}$. As above, we may assume $m = 1$.

Thus we are left to check that $\widetilde{g}_K \circ \mathsf{u}^\natural_K = \mathsf{v}^\natural_K \circ f_K$. It suffices to check it after replacing K by a finite extension where L and F are constant and after base change to K_v for any place v as any field extension is faithfully flat. Take v an unramified place of good reduction both for M_K and N_K such that $\widetilde{g}_K$ extends to a morphism $\widetilde{g}\colon \mathsf{G}^\natural \to \mathsf{H}^\natural$ over $W(k_v)$. In particular, $\mathsf{u}^\natural_K$ and $\mathsf{v}^\natural_K$ extend to morphisms $\mathsf{u}^\natural\colon \mathsf{L} \to \mathsf{G}^\natural, \mathsf{v}^\natural\colon \mathsf{F} \to \mathsf{H}^\natural$ over $W(k_v)$ and hence are determined by homomorphisms of groups $\mu\colon \mathsf{L}(W(k_v)) \to \mathsf{G}^\natural(W(k_v))$ and $\nu\colon \mathsf{F}(W(k_v)) \to \mathsf{H}^\natural(W(k_v))$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathsf{L}(W(k_v)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Lie}(\mathsf{L}\otimes\mathbb{G}_{a,K_v}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_v^{\mathsf{M}}} & \mathrm{Lie}(\mathsf{G}^\natural)\otimes K_v & \xrightarrow{\log_{\mathsf{G}}^{-1}} & \mathsf{G}^\natural(W(k_v))\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Q}_p \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_0\otimes K_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi\otimes K_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{g}} \\
\mathsf{F}(W(k_v)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Lie}(\mathsf{F}\otimes\mathbb{G}_{a,K_v}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_v^{\mathsf{N}}} & \mathrm{Lie}(\mathsf{H}^\natural)\otimes K_v & \xrightarrow{\log_{\mathsf{H}}^{-1}} & \mathsf{H}^\natural(W(k_v))\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Q}_p
\end{array}$$

where $\log_{\mathsf{L}\otimes\mathbb{G}_a}\circ(\gamma_{\mathsf{L}}\otimes 1)\colon \mathsf{L}(W(k_v)) \to \mathrm{Lie}(\mathsf{L}\otimes\mathbb{G}_{a,K_v})$, $\log_{\mathsf{F}\otimes\mathbb{G}_a}\circ(\gamma_{\mathsf{F}}\otimes 1)\colon \mathsf{F}(W(k_v)) \to \mathrm{Lie}(\mathsf{F}\otimes\mathbb{G}_{a,K_v})$ and the δ_v's are as in Lemma 2.4.1. Note that we here identify $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{L}_K[1])_v$ with $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathsf{L}\otimes\mathbb{G}_{a,K_v})$ and $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{F}_K[1])_v$ with $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathsf{F}\otimes\mathbb{G}_{a,K_v})$; we also identify $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{M}_K)_v$ with $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathsf{G}^\natural)\otimes K_v$ and $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{N}_K)_v$ with $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathsf{H}^\natural)\otimes K_v$. The first square from the left commutes as it is proven in the Lemma 3.3.4 that $\psi_0\otimes K_v = \mathrm{Lie}(f\otimes\mathrm{id})$. The square in the middle commutes thanks to Lemma 3.3.1 as ψ and ψ_0 are morphisms in $\mathbf{Og}(K)$. The last square on the right commutes by functoriality of the logarithm as $\psi\otimes K_v = \psi'\otimes K_v = \mathrm{Lie}(\widetilde{g}_K\otimes K_v)$ on the underlying K-vector spaces. Note that by Lemma 2.4.1 the composition of the upper horizontal arrows is $\mathsf{u}^\natural\otimes 1$ while the composition of the lower horizontal arrows is $\mathsf{v}^\natural\otimes 1$. Thus the following square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathsf{L}(W(k_v)) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathsf{G}^\natural(W(k_v)) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{g}} \\
\mathsf{F}(W(k_v)) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathsf{H}^\natural(W(k_v))
\end{array}$$

is commutative up to multiplication by a power of p and hence it provides a morphism in $\mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}}$, proving the claim. □

3.3.6. **Example.** Let $\mathsf{M}_K = [\mathsf{u}_K\colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{G}_{m,K}]$ and $\mathsf{N}_K = [\mathsf{v}_K\colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{G}_{m,K}]$ be two 1-motives over K. Set $a := \mathsf{u}_K(1) \in K^*$ and $b := \mathsf{v}_K(1) \in K^*$. Note that any morphism $(f_K, g_K)\colon \mathsf{M}_K \to \mathsf{N}_K$ is of the type $f_K = m$, $g_K = r$ with $a^r = b^m$. In particular, for general $a, b \in K^*$ the unique morphism between M_K and N_K is the trivial one. By Definition 2.3.1, it follows from (2.8) that

$$T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_K) = T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{N}_K) = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K}) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_{a,K}) = K \oplus K.$$

The de Rham realisation of the two 1-motives yields objects in $\mathbf{Og}(K)$ with the same underlying structure of filtered K-vector spaces: the filtration being induced by the weight filtration $W_{-1}\mathsf{M}_K = [0 \to \mathbb{G}_{m,K}]$ and $\mathrm{gr}_0^W \mathsf{M}_K = [\mathbb{Z} \to 0]$. As described in Definition 3.2.1 (*cf.* the proof of Lemma 3.2.2), for any unramified place v of K, $T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_{K_v}) = K_v \oplus K_v$ is endowed with the σ_v-semilinear operator F_v such that for

$(x,y) \in K_v \oplus K_v$ we have that $F_v(x,0) = (p_v^{-1}\sigma_v(x), 0)$ and $\overline{F_v((x,y))} = \sigma_v(y)$ where the $\overline{(\ )}$ stands for the class in the weight 0 quotient K_v; the same holds for N_K.

Note that, in general, we have several K-linear homomorphisms $T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_K) \to T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{N}_K)$ that preserve the filtration and commute with the F_v's on the graded pieces of the filtration but do not arise from morphisms of 1-motives (even up to isogeny). For example, take $a = 1$ and $b = 2$ and the identity map on $K \oplus K$. This example shows that knowing fullness for the pure weight parts is not enough to deduce the Theorem 3.3.5.

For general $a, b \in K^*$, knowing F_v on $T_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathsf{M}_K) \otimes K_v = K_v \oplus K_v$ is equivalent to give a Frobenius equivariant splitting $\delta_v^{\mathsf{M}} \colon K_v \to K_v \oplus K_v$ of the weight 0 quotient K_v thanks to Lemma 3.3.1. Let $y_a, y_b \in K_v$ be such that $a^{p_v^n - 1} = 1 + y_a p_v$, $b^{p_v^n - 1} = 1 + y_b p_v$ then $\delta_v^{\mathsf{M}}(1) = (y_a p_v/(p_v^n - 1), 1)$ and similarly for δ_v^{N} (*cf.* Examples 2.2.5). As a consequence, for example, the identity map on $K \oplus K$ commutes with the sections $\delta_v^{\mathsf{M}}, \delta_v^{\mathsf{N}}$ if and only if $a^{p_v^n - 1} = b^{p_v^n - 1}$ for almost all v or, equivalently, $a = b$. Hence there exists a morphism $T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{M}_K) \to T_{\mathrm{Og}}(\mathsf{N}_K)$ in $\mathbf{FOg}(K)$ which is identity on the underlying vector spaces if and only if $\mathsf{M}_K = \mathsf{N}_K$.

3.3.7. **Remark.** If we work with $\mathbf{BOg}(K)$ and even with the filtered analogue, it is not true that the functor T_{BOg} is full on 1-motives, in general. For example, take $\mathsf{M} = \mathbb{Z}[1]$ and note that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{M}_{1,\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathsf{M}) = \mathbb{Q}$ while $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{BOg}(K)}(T_{\mathrm{BOg}}(\mathsf{M})) = K$.

References

[1] Y. André: *Une introduction aux motifs (motifs purs, motifs mixtes, périodes)* Panoramas et Synthèses **17**, Société Mathématique de France, 2004.

[2] F. Andreatta & L. Barbieri-Viale: Crystalline realizations of 1-motives, *Math. Ann.* **331** N. 1 (2005) 111-172.

[3] F. Andreatta & A. Bertapelle: Universal extension crystals of 1-motives and applications, *Journal of Pure and Appl. Algebra* **215** (2011) 1919-1944.

[4] L. Barbieri-Viale & A. Bertapelle: Sharp de Rham realization, *Adv. Math.* **222** (2009) 1308-1338.

[5] A. Bertapelle: Deligne's duality for de Rham realizations of 1-motives, *Math. Nachr.* **282** (2009) 1637-1655.

[6] L. Barbieri-Viale & B. Kahn: *On the derived category of* 1*-motives* Astérisque **381** Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2016.

[7] P. Berthelot, L. Breen & W. Messing: *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline II* Lect. Notes in Math. **930**, Springer, New York, 1982.

[8] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert & M. Raynaud: *Néron models* Erg. der Math. Grenz. **21**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[9] J.-B. Bost: Algebraic leaves of algebraic foliations over number fields *Publ. Math.* IHES **93** (2001) 161-221.

[10] A. Chambert-Loir: Théorèmes d'algébricité en géométrie diophantienne d'après J.-B. Bost, Y. André, D. & G. Chudnovsky, Séminaire Bourbaki Mars 2001, n. 886.

[11] B. Chiarellotto: Weights in rigid cohomology applications to unipotent F-isocrystals, *Annales Scientifiques* ENS **31** Issue 5 (1998) 683-715.

[12] B. Conrad: A modern proof of Chevalley's theorem on algebraic groups, *J. Ramanujam Math. Soc.* **17** (2002) 1-18.

[13] M. Demazure & P. Gabriel: *Groupes algébriques* Tome I: Géométrie algébrique, généralités, groupes commutatifs, Masson & Cie, Éditeur, Paris, 1970.

[14] M. Demazure & A. Grothendieck (Eds.): *Schémas en groupes* Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962-64 (SGA 3). Lect. Notes in Math. **151**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.

[15] P. Deligne: Théorie de Hodge III. *Publ. Math.* IHES **44** (1974) 5-78.

[16] G. van der Geer & B. Moonen: *Abelian varieties* Preprint: `http://www.math.ru.nl/~bmoonen/research.html`.

[17] A. Grothendieck: Eléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, Première partie. *Publ. Math.* IHES **11** (1961) 5-167.
[18] A. Grothendieck: Eléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Troisiéme partie. *Publ. Math.* IHES **28** (1966) 5-255.
[19] U. Jannsen: Mixed motives, motivic cohomology and Ext-groups, in the *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians* Vol. 1, 2 (Zurich, 1994), 667-679, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
[20] N. Katz & W. Messing: Some consequences of the Riemann hypothesis for varieties over finite fields, *Invent. Math.* **23** (1974), 73-77.
[21] M. Kisin & S. Wortmann: A note on Artin motives, *Math. Research Letters* **10** (2003) 375-389.
[22] D. Mumford: *Abelian varieties* Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, 5, Bombay, 1970.
[23] J. T. Tate: p-divisible groups, in Proc. Conf. Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966) 158-183 Springer, Berlin 1967.

Dipartimento di Matematica "F. Enriques", Università degli Studi di Milano, Via C. Saldini, 50, I-20133 Milano, Italy
E-mail address: Fabrizio.Andreatta@unimi.it
E-mail address: Luca.Barbieri-Viale@unimi.it

Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, Università degli Studi di Padova, vis Trieste, 63, Padova – I-35121, Italy
E-mail address: alessandra.bertapelle@unipd.it